

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,359	08/01/2003		Gary Wingett	878.0030.U1(US)	3984
29683	7590	05/05/2006		EXAMINER	
		SMITH, LLP	PYO, KEVIN K		
4 RESEARCH DRIVE SHELTON, CT 06484-6212				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
011221011,	01 00.			2878	

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$-\mu$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	, ,
Office Assistant Communication	10/632,359	WINGETT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin Pyo	2878	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a round will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	April 2006.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,11 and 13-19</u> is/are pending i	n the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,11 and 13-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-,,		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			l).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	pplication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	٠, Other:	<u> </u>	

Application/Control Number: 10/632,359 Page 2

Art Unit: 2878

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9, 11, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawai et al (Japanese Patent Publication JP-08029202).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 5, 9, 15 and 17, Kawai et al shows in Figs. 1 and 6 a source of electromagnetic radiation (30), a detector (40) for sensing electromagnetic radiation; and an element (2) located in a path between the source and the detector, wherein the element providing multiple set of electromagnetic radiation transmission (paragraph 0015, lines 4-6; paragraph 0016, lines 1-2), each set comprising a plurality of areas (72-74 in Fig.6) having respectively different electromagnetic radiation reflection characteristics for reflecting respective different amounts of electromagnetic radiation from the source to the detector, wherein the areas are arranged to provide a directionally unique sequence of transmission characteristics along the path traced on rotation of the rotatable member, and wherein the sets from multiple repetitive sequence about the element (paragraph 0015, lines 4-6).

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Kawai et al shows in Fig.2 an optical head (4) comprising a source (30) and a detector (40) is located for rotation with a rotatable member (in Fig.2, elements 2-4 are coupled via a shaft).

Regarding claim 6, Kawai et al shows in Fig.6 three different areas (72-24) are repeated in a same order on a surface of the element.

Regarding claim 7, Kawai et al shows in Figs.3 and 5 a rotatable member (2) is movable in an axis perpendicular to a plane of rotation of the rotatable member.

Regarding claim 11, Kawai et al discloses in paragraph [0026] the use of a transparency type optical disc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 14, 16, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada (6,351,657) in view of Kawai et al.

Regarding claims 14 and 16, Yamada differs from the claimed invention in that its device utilizes a mechanical encoder, as opposed to the claimed invention that utilizes an optical encoder comprising an optical source and detector. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Yamada by replacing a mechanical encoder with an optical encoder of Kawai et al for the purpose of lengthening the lifespan of elements by optical contactless operation.

Regarding claims 18 and 19, Yamada shows in Fig.6 a display and a rotatable member (1a) are located on a front face of a device.

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawai et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,359 Page 4

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claim 13, Kawai shows in Fig.6 pits (72-74) comprising the same width. In addition, Kawai discloses in paragraph [0016], lines 1-2 that the configuration, a dimension and a reflection factor for the pits (72-74) can be adjusted so that different electromagnetic radiation transmission characteristics for pits can be achieved. It would have been obvious, if not inherent, to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that pits of different dimension (length) with each having a uniform reflection level and pits having the same dimensions (same size) with different reflection levels would provide the same end result.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,359 Page 5

Art Unit: 2878

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Pyo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2445. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (with flexible hour), First Mon. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (571) 272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin Pyo

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2878

Pkk 4/25/06